

Status and Policy of CCUS in US

Susan Hovorka Gulf Coast Carbon Center Bureau of Economic Geology Jackson School of Geosciences

CAGS3 CCS workshop in Urumqi, Xinjiang, China





TEXAS Geosciences

The University of Texas at Austin Jackson School of Geosciences





Talk Outline

- Maturity of US CCS program
- Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships: 10 year characterization to deployment reaching conclusions
- Major integrated capture and storage projects
 - 4 our of 7 have progressed
- New ventures
 - CarbonSAFE
 - Mission Innovation
- Global collaboration
- Policy status and uncertainties

Safe and Effective Injection > 50 years

Representative projects





Examples of the many parts of the US CCUS program

- US Department of Energy (Fossil Energy at Headquarters)
 - Bilaterals, Carbon Sequestration leadership Forum (CSLF)
- US National Laboratories
 - National Energy Technology Lab (NETL) clean coal program, leadership oil and gas research
 - Other laboratories technology development and modeling
- Environmental Protection Agency
 - Safe drinking water act well permitting
 - Clean Air Act
 – authority regulate greenhouse gasses reporting rules
- State and municipality rules
 - Incentives and rules to favor clean energy, recently State of California has been leading
- Bureau of Ocean Management studying offshore storage rules



Global and local progress DOE's Major CCUS



Source NETL 2014, updated 2017

Carbon Storage Program Lessons Learned



- The U.S. has a very large storage resource to exploit
- Storage can be safely integrated with capture and transportation
- A variety of geologies have proven the ability to accept CO₂ at commercial-scale injection rates
- No insurmountable scientific/technical barriers to geologic storage have been identified
- Technology advancements and field experience have increased confidence in predicting CO₂ movement and confirming confining system integrity
 - More work is required—reduce cost, risk, and increase certainty in technologies







Carbon Storage Program Addressing Subsurface Challenges and Risk



Well Integrity and Mitigation



Monitoring Verification and Accounting (MVA)



400

300

200

100





Storage Complex Efficiency and Security



Risk Assessment





National Risk Assessment Partnerships Identifying Critical Storage/Risk Relationships





Impact of geologic variables is on the same order as operational variables.

Bromhal et al. 2014



Subsurface Technology and Engineering R&D Crosscut (SubTER)







Carbon Storage Program Addressing Larger-scale Challenges





Brine Extraction Storage Tests (BEST)



Offshore Storage



Carbon SAFE







GCCC Field Tests for Monitoring Verification and Accounting DOE-NETL and Industry Hosts











RCSP SECARB "early" test at Cranfield- Monitoring design and optimization leanings applied to West Ranch





Components of Petra Nova project

- Existing coal-fueled electrical generating unit at WA Parish power plant
- 240 MW equivalent slipstream of flue gas
- MHI amine capture 90% of carbon dioxide
- 50-50 joint venture by NRG and JX Nippon
- Capture more than 5,000 tons of CO₂ per day,
- 81-mile pipeline to West Ranch oil field
- Use for EOR
- Oil production at the field estimated to increase from 300 barrels to 15,000 barrels per day
- Monitoring by Hilcorp and the University Of Texas Bureau of Economic Geology



NRG Petra Nova CCUS Project



from a 240 MW equivalent slipstream of flue gas for use and sequester up to 1.6 million tons of this greenhouse gas annually.



http://www.nrg.com/documents/business/pla-2014-petranova-waparish-factsheet.pdf

Accounting for CO₂ Enhanced Oil Recovery in Storage





